

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/08/2004

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 09/522,184 03/09/2000 Henry Li 36941/CAG/B600 2290 23363 7590 01/08/2004 **EXAMINER** CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP VINCENT, DAVID ROBERT 350 WEST COLORADO BOULEVARD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER PASADENA, CA 91105 2661

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/522,184	LI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David R Vincent	2661
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 December 2003.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-94</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-94</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2661

Response to Amendment

- 1. Applicant should submit an argument under the heading "Remarks" pointing out disagreements with the examiner's ... contentions. Applicant must also discuss the references applied against the claims, explaining how the claims avoid the references or distinguish from them.
 - A) Applicant mad no remarks pertaining to the IDS.
 - B) Applicant did not indicate how the USC 112 rejections were overcome other than by stating amendments were made. The examiner asked:
 - 1. What are the "formats"?
 - 2. Where is it described how at least two signals of two different formats end up on the same transmission line?
 - 3. Does a signal having a plurality of formats even mean that at two different signals with their own formats are somehow transmitted together on the same transmission line?
 - 4. Claim one specifies an encoder encoding, and claim
 2 specifies the selected format/signal is PCM data.

 Is this really to mean that PCM data is encoded?

 Where is this disclosed in the specification?

Art Unit: 2661

The applicant did not respond (in the remarks) to any of the above concerns.

The examiner also asked:

- 1. How does a signal ends up with different formats?
- 2. Is there a multiplexor not being claimed or are there a plurality of devices plugged into a modem which has a means to combine them?
- 3. What exactly is meant by a signal having a plurality of different formats?
- 4. Is it really a stream of data comprising separate sub streams of data or separate signals that got onto a same transmission line somehow?
- 5. When the claims specify, a signal having a plurality of formats, does it mean the same exact data is in different formats or is the second format data different from the first?

The applicant did not respond (in the remarks) to any of the above concerns.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed 8/6/01, 8/19/02 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office. The information referred to therein has not been considered because although it is noted on

Art Unit: 2661

the file wrapper, no IDS nor copy of references are with the case. Please resend all references and copies of IDS paper nos. 9 and 10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-13, 26-47, 71-82, 93 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. What are the "formats"?

Where is it described how at least two signals of two different formats end up on the same transmission line? Does a signal having a plurality of formats even mean that at two different signals with their own formats are somehow transmitted together on the same transmission line? Claim one specifies an encoder encoding, and claim 2 specifies the selected format/signal is

Art Unit: 2661

PCM data. Is this really to mean that PCM data is encoded? Where is this disclosed in the specification?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-94 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are so broad that the metes and bounds cannot be fully understood. For example, after reading the specification it is still not fully understood how a signal ends up with different formats. Is there a multiplexor not being claimed or are there a plurality of devices plugged into a modem which has a means to combine them? What exactly is meant by a signal having a plurality of different formats? Is it really a stream of data comprising separate sub streams of data or separate signals that got onto a same transmission line somehow? When the claims specify, a signal having a plurality of formats, does it mean the same exact data is in different formats or is the second format data that is not the same as the first? Where did

Art Unit: 2661

the demodulated information come from, was it just one the other formats? The independent claims read as if there is no clear antecedent basis for demodulated information. Does the driver have two inputs where one input comes from the encoder and the other input is the same input feeding into the encoder or does the encoder have two outputs feeding into the driver (which is not shown in the drawings)?

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/522,184

Page 7

Art Unit: 2661

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than

SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David R Vincent whose telephone number is 703 305 4957. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas Olms can be reached on 703 305 4703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 306 0377.

David R Vincent
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2661

January 7, 2004